Heating & Angular Momentum Transport in Hot Accretion Flows

Prateek Sharma (UC Berkeley) in collaboration with Eliot Quataert (UC Berkeley), Greg Hammett, & Jim Stone (Princeton)

Low Luminosity Accretion Flows

- I0⁶-I0⁹ M_𝒫 BHs at centers of galaxies
- most luminous objects, e.g., quasars, AGN
- low luminosity BHs in nearby galaxies; why this dichotomy? may be there is just not enough material?
- $L = \eta Mc^2$; $\eta \sim 0.1$ for thin disks
- $\eta \sim 10^{-(a \text{ few})}$ for LLBHs \Rightarrow disk hot & thick
- low η or low M for low luminosity? requires detailed modeling



QUASAL PKS 2349 HSI • WFP(Scl OPO • January 1995 • J. Bahcall (Princeton), NASA

Radiative Efficiency

- energy at ∞:0
- energy for a stable orbit at r:-GM/2r



- rest GM/2r goes into particle (thermal) energy; electron vs. ion? need detailed modeling to answer for collisionless plasmas
- in GR stable orbits only till r>few r_s ($\equiv 2GM/c^2$); $E_{rad} \sim GM/2r_{LSO}$ if electrons heat and cool efficiently => $\eta \sim 0.1$
- $\eta << 0.1$ if electrons not heated efficiently

Singularity

Sgr A*: Galactic Center BH

 $4 \times 10^6 M_{\mathcal{D}}$ black hole

 $M \sim 10^{-5} M_{\nu}$ /yr by stellar outflows

 $L_{obs} \sim 10^{36} \text{ erg/s} \sim 10^{-5} \text{ x} (0.1 \text{ Mc}^2)$, radio to X-ray

Why low luminosity? low M or low η

outflows/convection can decrease M



Bondi radius ~ 0.07 pc (2"), n~100/cc,T~1.2 keV [Baganoff et al. 2003] mfp ≈ r_{Bondi}, collisionless at smaller r; detailed transport calculations useful



molecular viscosity not sufficient, invoke turbulent viscosity

Hydrodynamic disks linearly stable, magnetic fields qualitatively different

Source of turbulence is MRI when $d\Omega^2/dlnr < 0$; r- Φ correlations (due to shear) creates stress & causes transport

[Balbus & Hawley 1991] Anisotropic viscous stress even if $B \rightarrow 0$ (cosmological implications); mass falls in & angular momentum flows out

3-D MHD Simulations

Movies by John Hawley



MHD simulations of MRI turbulence quite successful. Need to study it in collisionless regime applicable to Sgr A^*

Drift Kinetic Equation

plasma is collisionless, hot w. H~r

Larmor radius << disk height

drift kinetic equation: approx. for Vlasov eq. if $k\rho_i << I, \omega << \Omega_i$

Table 1.2: Plasma parameters for Sgr A^*			
Parameter	$r = r_{acc}$	$r = \sqrt{r_{acc}R_S}$	$r = R_S$
	$2.2 \times 10^{17} \mathrm{~cm}$	$4.2\times10^{14}~{\rm cm}$	$7.8\times10^{11}~{\rm cm}$
$ u_{i,{ m ADAF}}/\Omega_K \sim r^{3/2}$	11.4	$9.4 imes 10^{-4}$	$7.6 imes10^{-8}$
$ u_{i,{ m CDAF}}/\Omega_K \sim r^{3/2+p}$	11.4	1.81×10^{-6}	2.62×10^{-13}
$ ho_{i,{ m ADAF}}/H \sim r^{-1/4}$	2×10^{-11}	9.94×10^{-11}	4.59×10^{-10}
$ ho_{i,\mathrm{CDAF}}/H \sim r^{-1/4-p/2}$	2×10^{-11}	2.23×10^{-9}	$2.48 imes 10^{-7}$

$$\frac{\partial f_{0s}}{\partial t} + (\mathbf{V}_E + v_{\parallel} \hat{\mathbf{b}}) \cdot \nabla f_{0s} + \left(-\hat{\mathbf{b}} \cdot \frac{D \mathbf{V}_E}{Dt} - \mu \hat{\mathbf{b}} \cdot \nabla B + \frac{1}{m_s} (q_s E_{\parallel} + F_{g\parallel}) \right) \frac{\partial f_{0s}}{\partial v_{\parallel}} = 0$$

 $\mu = v_{\perp}^2/B \propto T_{\perp}/B$ is conserved; $V_E = c(EXB)/B^2$

mfp >> disk height scales >> Larmor radius

Moments of the DKE Kinetic-MHD

similar to MHD

pressure anisotropic wrt B

how $p_{||}, p_{\perp}$ evolve? next higher order moment $q_{||}, q_{\perp}$

closure problem; q=0 (CGL approx. may not be good)

 $q \approx -n\nabla_{||}T/(k_{||}v_t+\upsilon)$ [Snyder et al. 1997]

heat carried by free-streaming particles

captures collisionless effects like Landau damping

$$\begin{split} &\frac{\partial\rho}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\rho \mathbf{V}) = 0, \\ &\rho \frac{\partial \mathbf{V}}{\partial t} + \rho \left(\mathbf{V} \cdot \nabla \right) \mathbf{V} = \frac{(\nabla \times \mathbf{B}) \times \mathbf{B}}{4\pi} - \nabla \cdot \mathbf{P} + \mathbf{F_g}, \\ &\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} = \nabla \times \left(\mathbf{V} \times \mathbf{B} \right), \\ &\mathbf{P} = p_{\perp} \mathbf{I} + \left(p_{\parallel} - p_{\perp} \right) \mathbf{\hat{b}}\mathbf{\hat{b}}, \end{split}$$

$$\rho B \frac{D}{Dt} \left(\frac{p_{\perp}}{\rho B} \right) = -\nabla \cdot \mathbf{q}_{\perp} - q_{\perp} \nabla \cdot \hat{\mathbf{b}},$$
$$\frac{\rho^3}{B^2} \frac{D}{Dt} \left(\frac{p_{\parallel} B^2}{\rho^3} \right) = -\nabla \cdot \mathbf{q}_{\parallel} + 2q_{\perp} \nabla \cdot \hat{\mathbf{b}},$$

Braginskii vs KMHD

- $(\delta p_{\parallel} \delta p_{\perp})/p \sim 3\delta B/B 2\delta \rho/\rho$: linear CGL limit
- $\Delta p/p \sim I/\beta^{\alpha}$ ($\alpha \sim 0.5$) if Δp drives microinstabilities
- $\Delta p/p \sim (1/\upsilon) bb: \nabla u$; a shear stress in Braginskii
- pressure anisotropy is like parallel viscosity
- viscous heating rate: $\Delta p(bb:\nabla u)$
- $q_e/q_i \sim (T_e/T_i)^{1-\alpha}$ for KMHD
- $q_e/q_i \sim (T_e/T_i)(v_i/v_e) \sim (m_e/m_i)^{1/2}(T_i/T_e)^{5/2}$ in Braginskii

Anisotropic transport

Pressure anisotropy equivalent to anisotropic viscous stress, in addition to Reynolds & Maxwell stresses

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\rho V) + \nabla \bullet \left(\rho V V + \left(p_{\perp} + \frac{B^2}{8\pi}\right)I - \frac{BB}{4\pi}\left(1 - \frac{p_{\parallel} - p_{\perp}}{B^2}\right)\right) = 0$$

Large scale anisotropic_viscous heating, small-scale resistive, viscous heating

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}e + \nabla \bullet (eV + q) = -p_{\perp} \nabla \bullet V - (p_{\parallel} - p_{\perp})b : \nabla V + \eta_{R}j^{2} + \eta_{V} |\nabla V|^{2}$$

$$\delta p_{1s} = -\frac{p_{0s}}{\nu_s} (3\hat{\mathbf{b}} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{U} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{b}} - \nabla \cdot \mathbf{U})$$

$$\delta p = p_\parallel - p_\perp$$

In Braginskii regime, $U >> kv_t$, pressure anisotropy reduced by Coulomb collisions For $U << kv_t$ anisotropy governed by μ invariance

Can anisotropy be arbitrarily large? No.

Protons; [Kasper et al. 2003]

Δp limits

 β^{α}

 p_{\parallel}

Electrons; [S. Bale]





Pressure anisotropy reduced by Larmor-scale instabilities: protons: ion-cyclotron, mirror $(p_{\perp}>p_{\parallel})$ electrons: electron-whistler $(p_{\perp}>p_{\parallel})$ firehose for $(p_{\perp}<p_{\parallel})$ agree with kinetic PIC simulations [Gary et al.]





fastest growing mode twice faster than in MHD, at much larger scales

collisionless damping, large scale dissipation $dv_{\parallel}/dt = -\mu \nabla_{\parallel}B + eE_{\parallel}/m$ [Quataert et al. 2002; Sharma et al. 2003; Balbus 2004]

Shearing-box sims.

periodic boundary conditions in ϕ , z shearing periodic in r jump of $(3/2)\Omega L_x$ in V_{ϕ}

analogous to flux tube sims. in fusion where shear is in B

Δp due to MRI



10

orbits

5

0

15

20

$$B.\nabla B \longrightarrow \left(1 - \frac{(p_{\parallel} - p_{\perp})}{B^2}\right) B.\nabla B$$

pressure anisotropy ($p_{\perp} > p_{\parallel}$) as B \uparrow

 $\mu \propto < v_{\perp}^2 > /B \propto p_{\perp}/B = const.$

pressure anisotropy can stabilize MRI modes

How large can pressure anisotropy become? Anisotropy driven instabilities: mirror, ion cyclotron, etc.

 $\Delta p/p \approx O(I)/\beta$, $\beta = 8\pi p/B^2 \sim I - I00$

Microinstabilities => MHD like dynamics

Pressure anisotropy





anisotropic stress ~ Maxwell stress (can dominate at $\beta >>1$) anisotropic pressure => 'viscous' heating (due to anisotropic stress) at large scales

ion pressure anisotropy limited by IC instability threshold Will electrons also be anisotropic? Yes, collision freq. is really tiny electron pressure anisotropy reduced by electron whistler instability

Shearing-box energetics



Electron heating

& ions:



Ratio of electron & proton heating rates

In sims. anisotropic heating numerical losses => half the energy is captured as heating due to anisotropic pressure

Form of pressure anisotropy threshold from full kinetic theory for both electrons

$$\frac{p_{\perp}}{p_{\parallel}} - 1 = \frac{S}{\beta^{\alpha}}$$

 $\alpha \sim 0.5$, S_e ~ 0.4 S_i for ion cyclotron/electron whistler instabilities =>significant electron heating (compare with Braginskii where ions are heated preferentially)

$$\frac{q_e}{q_i} = \frac{\Delta p_e}{\Delta p_i} \sim \left(\frac{T_e}{T_i}\right)^{1/2}$$

Results depend on pitch angle scattering thresholds (which are fairly well-tested)







Even if electrons are cold initially, viscous heating will eventually give $T_e/T_i \sim I/(\text{few I0s})$, neglecting synchrotron cooling of electrons

measured electron temperature ~ 3×10^{10} at ~ 24 r_s [Bower et al. 2004]

Electrons somewhat radiatively efficient w. $\eta \sim 10^{-3} \& M \sim 10^{-7} M_{P}/yr$ consistent with Faraday RM observations & global MHD sims.

Conclusions

- pressure anisotropy natural as μ conserved
- scattering due to microinstabilities
- anisotropic stress ≈ Maxwell stress
- significant e⁻ heating => radiative (ADAF w. $\eta \sim 10^{-5}$ ruled out)
- M<<MBondi for low luminosity; consistent with rotation measure toward Sgr A*