The introduction of “twist” or relative rotation between two atomically thin van der Waals membranes gives rise to periodic moiré potential, leading to a substantial alteration of the band structure of the planar assembly. While most of the recent experiments primarily focus on the electronic-band hybridization by probing in-plane transport properties, here we report out-of-plane thermoelectric measurements across the van der Waals gap in twisted bilayer graphene, which exhibits an interplay of twist-dependent interlayer electronic and phononic hybridization. We show that at large twist angles, the thermopower is entirely driven by a novel phonon-drag effect at subnanometer scale, while the electronic component of the thermopower is recovered only when the misorientation between the layers is reduced to $\theta < 6^\circ$. Our experiment shows that cross-plane thermoelectricity at low angles is exceptionally sensitive to the nature of band dispersion and may provide fundamental insights into the coherence of electronic states in twisted bilayer graphene.

FIG. 1. Device structure and characterization. (a) Moiré superlattice when a relative rotation ($\theta$) is introduced between two graphene layers. (b) The Raman spectra for G peak and 2D peak (shaded region) are compared for $\theta \sim 12.5^\circ$, $\theta \sim 2^\circ$, $\theta = 0^\circ$, and single layer graphene with relative offset in the intensity for clarity. (c) SEM image of a device with twist angle $\theta = 0^\circ$ (Bernal stacking). The scale bar represents a length of 5 $\mu$m. (d) Device schematic for the cross-plane electrical and thermoelectric measurements.
sheets [19] and other nanomaterials [20,21], resulting in significant enhancement of the thermoelectric figure of merit (ZT factor) [22]. However, the relevance of layer-hybridized phonons in the thermoelectric transport remains unclear when the electronic hybridization of the two layers becomes strong at low $\theta$. A systematic experimental study on twist angle dependence is needed to develop the physics of the interlayer energy transport when the vdW interface is subjected to a statistical driving force by establishing a temperature gradient.

In this Letter, we report the measurement of thermoelectric transport across a single vdW gap formed in twisted bilayer graphene (tBLG). To have independent access to both layers as well as the cross junction, we create the vdW stack of two graphene layers at $60^\circ + \theta$, where $\theta$ is the specific misorientation angle. The graphene superlattice is then encapsulated within two hexagonal boron nitride layers in a vertical stack on Si-SiO$_2$ substrate [see Fig. 1(d)]. We have measured a total of seven devices, four with large twist angles, one with Bernal-AB stacking ($\theta = 0^\circ$), and two with the small twist angles $\theta \sim 2^\circ$ and $\sim 4^\circ$. The observed difference in the Raman spectra from the monolayer graphene and overlap region [Fig. 1(b)] suggests the twist angles $\theta \approx 6^\circ$, $10^\circ$, $12.5^\circ$, and $14^\circ$ for the devices with large $\theta$ [see Section II of the Supplemental Material (SM) [23] for more details].

The doped Si-SiO$_2$ substrate acts as a global bottom gate, while a local top gate on the overlap region controls the doping density ($n$) of the overlap region independently as shown in the SEM image in Fig. 1(c).

Figure 2(a) depicts the measurement schematic for the four-terminal cross-plane conductance ($G_{cp}$). In order to avoid the artifacts arising from asymmetric coupling of the voltage leads to the current path in a cross four-probe geometry [29], we perform quasi-four-probe measurements of the cross-plane resistance ($R_{cp}$) where current and voltage leads of the same polarity were placed on the same branch of the crossed structure. The high back-gate potential ($|V_{bg}| > 30$ V) ensures that the series resistance from outside the top-gated region is not more than $\sim 5\%$–$20\%$ of $R_{cp}$, being dependent on doping and the lead configuration [see Fig. 2(b) and SM Sections III–VI [23] for more details]. The cross-plane charge transport can be driven by two distinct processes: (1) interlayer charge tunneling and (2) phonon-assisted charge transfer [5,6,15]. For large $\theta$, the interlayer conduction at low temperature ($T \lesssim 70$ K) originates from incoherent tunneling between the two graphene layers, leading to a temperature-independent $G_{cp}$ [6,8]. However, at higher temperature, the LBM phonons assist in interlayer conduction through e-ph scattering, leading to an increasing $G_{cp}$ with temperatures as shown in Fig. 2(c) for $\theta \sim 12.5^\circ$ [5,6,15]. The $T$ dependence of cross-plane conductance in low twist angles is distinctly different from that at large $\theta$, since $G_{cp}$ is almost temperature independent, as shown for $\theta \sim 2^\circ$ in Fig. 2(d). The $T$ independence of $G_{cp}$ is consistent across all low $\theta$ devices, indicating the absence of phonon-mediated scattering in cross-plane conduction when the two layers are strongly hybridized (see SM Section VII [23]).

The cross-plane thermoelectric power (TEP) or Seebeck coefficient is obtained from $S(V_{bg}, T) = V_{2ao}/\Delta T$, where $\Delta T$ is the effective temperature difference between the two graphene layers, created by passing a sinusoidal heating current ($I_\omega$) in the top graphene layer [Fig. 3(a)]. The resulting second harmonic thermovoltage $V_{2\omega}$ is recorded between the two layers for various top-gate-induced doping, while the back gate is set at a high potential to minimize the in-plane contributions in $V_{2\omega}$ [Fig. 3(b)] [15,17]. The cross-plane $\Delta T$ is measured using resistance thermometry of the top graphene layer (see SM Section IX [23]). For the range of heating current used, $I_\omega \sim 1$–4 $\mu$A, both $V_{2ao}$, $\Delta T \propto I_\omega^2$ [Fig. 3(c)] ensure that the measurements were performed within the linear response regime ($\Delta T \ll T$) [30] and the Seebeck coefficient $S$ is independent of the $\Delta T$ itself. First, we compare the temperature dependence of $S$ at various carrier densities for $\theta \sim 12.5^\circ$ in Fig. 3(d). The measured $S$ exhibits a nonlinear $T$ dependence as observed
FIG. 3. Thermoelectric transport at large twist angle ($\theta \sim 12.5^{\circ}$). (a) In-plane heating and measurement scheme for cross-plane thermovoltage $V_{2\omega}$. (b) $V_{2\omega}$ with varying top-gate voltages $|V_{tg} - V_D|$ for different in-plane heating currents (1–4 $\mu$A) at 84 K. (c) $V_{2\omega}$ normalized with $I_{0 \omega}^2$. The inset shows that the measured temperature difference $\Delta T \propto I_{0 \omega}^2$. (d) Temperature dependence of $S = V_{2\omega}/\Delta T$ for $\theta \sim 12.5^{\circ}$ device for various $n$. The solid lines show the fit of the TEP described in Eq. (1). The inset shows the obtained phonon energy as a function of Fermi wave vector $k_F$. (e) The density dependence of the measured $S$ for three representative temperatures (circles). The dashed lines show the fitted $S$ from the phonon-drag TEP [Eq. (1)]. The inset shows the comparison between the measured $S$ (gray line) and the calculated $S$ (green line) from the Mott relation [Eq. (2)] at $T = 30$ K. The red lines show the fit of the phonon-drag-mediated TEP.

In our previous work [15], which suggests that the cross-plane TEP is primarily driven by the interlayer phonons. A phonon-driven TEP involves a temperature difference ($\Delta T$)-induced quasi-nonequilibrium condition that leads to net diffusion of phonons from the hot layer to the cold layer. These out-of-equilibrium phonons then impart momentum to the charge carriers through e-ph scattering, leading to a frictional drag force [31,32] on the charge carriers. In the steady state, this phonon-drag force results in additional thermal voltage between the two layers due to the interlayer charge imbalance. For the quadratic dispersion relation of LBM branch of phonons [5,15], the phonon-drag component of TEP can be expressed as [31]

$$S \approx \alpha \Omega_{ph}(q_K, k_F) e^\Omega_{ph} / n e T^2 (e^{\Omega_{ph}/T} - 1)^2,$$

where $n$ is the number density of the carriers with charge $e$ and the prefactor $\alpha$ captures different phonon scattering rates. Here $\Omega_{ph}(q_K, k_F)$ is the energy of the LBM phonon that elastically scatters one electron from the Fermi circle of $(k_F)$ one layer to another that is separated by momentum $q_K$ (for more details, see SM Section X [23]).

The phonon-drag-mediated TEP in Eq. (1) shows excellent fit to the $T$ dependence of $S$ at various doping densities for $\theta \sim 12.5^{\circ}$, as shown in Fig. 3(d). The fitting parameter $\alpha$ is found to be temperature independent for higher doping but becomes weakly temperature dependent $\propto T^{-\gamma}$, where $\gamma \approx 0.1$–$0.3$, close to CNP. The fit of Eq. (1) to the $T$ dependence of TEP yields $\Omega_{ph}(q_K, k_F) \sim 200$–$300$ K and exhibits linear dependence on the Fermi wave vector $k_F$ (inset Fig. 3(d)). This is the direct consequence of momentum conservation in the interlayer e-ph scattering as the average phonon momentum required to scatter one electron from one layer to another $\approx q_K + k_F$ [15]. The intercept $\Omega_{ph}(q_K, k_F = 0) \approx 175$ K coincides well with the low-energy LBM branch $2\Omega \sim 2\Omega_2$ in BLG [15,33,34].

The density dependence of $S$, shown in Fig. 3(e) (red dotted lines) for three different temperatures, can also be obtained quantitatively from a electron-phonon scattering scenario. In fitting the phonon-drag TEP, we have used Eq. (1) and the linear dependence of $\Omega_{ph}$ on $k_F = \sqrt{\pi n}$ with a charge-puddle broadening factor ($n_0$) in the density $n$ such that $\Omega_{ph} = \Omega_0 + \beta \sqrt{\pi (n_0^2 + n^2)^{1/2}}$, where the coefficients $\Omega_0$ and $\beta$ are taken from the linearity of $\Omega_{ph}$ on $k_F$.

In contrast to the large $\theta$ devices, TEP in low twist angle ($\theta < 6^{\circ}$) devices [Fig. 4(a),(b)] exhibits a linear dependence on temperature throughout the experimental temperature range ($\sim 30$–$300$ K). This is qualitatively similar to the Mott thermopower ($\sim T/\Omega_F$) observed for graphene in-plane and diffusive conductors in the degenerate limit $k_B T \ll \mu$, where $\mu$ is the chemical potential [17,35] but differs from in-plane TEP in graphite [36]. While the origin of TEP $\propto T$ can be attributed to the interlayer entropy transport by the thermally activated quasiparticles over the Fermi energy, the absence of nonlinearity in $T$ dependence of $S$ also indicates that the phonon-drag effects are negligible in low twist angles irrespective of $T$.

We now analyze the doping dependence of $S$ in both $\theta \sim 2^{\circ}$ and $\theta = 0^{\circ}$ devices considering that the TEP is composed of the electronic component and hence determined by the Mott relation [17,37],

$$S_{Mott} = \frac{\pi^2 k_B T}{3 e} \left| \frac{d G_{cp}}{d V_{tg}} \right| \frac{d V_{tg}}{d E} \bigg|_{E=E_F}.$$  

$S_{Mott}$ in Eq. (2) can be evaluated by differentiating the experimentally measured $G_{cp}$ with respect to $V_{tg}$ and using the parallel plate model of gate capacitance. For $\theta \sim 2^{\circ}$, the Dirac dispersion of SLG, $E_F = h v_F/\sqrt{2m}$, yields $(d V_{tg}/d E) = (2/h v_F) \sqrt{2 e / \pi C_{BN}} |V_{tg} - V_D|$, where $v_F = 10^{8}$ m s$^{-1}$ and $C_{BN}$ are the Fermi velocity in the SLG and gate capacitance per unit area, respectively. In
FIG. 4. Cross-plane thermoelectricity at low twist angle. Temperature dependence of \( S \) for (a) \( \theta \sim 2° \) and (b) \( \theta = 0° \) (Bernal stacking) for various \( n \). The dashed lines show the linear \( T \) behavior as a guide for the eye. The doping dependence of experimentally measured \( S \) (gray solid lines) is compared to \( S_{\text{Mott}} \) (colored circles) and \( S_{\text{Mott}} \) is calculated using the (c) single layer Dirac dispersion and (d) parabolic band dispersion of bilayer graphene. The inset in (d) shows the density dependence of \( S \) for \( \theta = 0° \) compared to that of normalized \( S_{\text{Mott}} \) (black line) evaluated from the single layer Dirac dispersion at a fixed representative temperature \( T = 150 \) K.

calculating \( dV_{\text{tg}}/dE \), we have assumed that the gate potential \( |V_{\text{tg}} - V_D| \) induces equal doping density in both layers due to negligible screening of electric field from the graphene sheet and small interlayer separation \( d \sim 0.34 \) nm [6,38,39] (see SM Section XIV [23] for discussion on the effect of screening on interlayer charge imbalance and Seebeck coefficient). We compare the doping dependence of TEP in \( \theta \sim 2° \) with Mott relation at various temperatures in Fig. 4(c). \( S_{\text{Mott}} \) obtained from Eq. (2) coincides well with the measured \( S \) for the three representative temperatures. The quantitative agreement of \( S \) with \( S_{\text{Mott}} \) also suggests that the renormalization effects on \( v_F \) due to the flattening of the lowest-energy bands are not significant in our device [12].

For a Bernal stacked tBLG device, \( S_{\text{Mott}} \) is evaluated by numerically differentiating the measured \( G_{\text{cp}} \) with respect to gate potential \( V_{\text{tg}} \) and using the parabolic dispersion of the bilayer graphene (BLG) [40,41],

\[
E(k) = \frac{1}{2} \gamma_1 \left[ \sqrt{1 + \frac{v_F^2 \hbar^2 k^2}{\gamma_1^2}} - 1 \right],
\]

where \( \gamma_1 \approx 0.39 \) eV is the interlayer hopping energy and \( v_F \approx 0.95 \times 10^6 \) is the Fermi velocity in the BLG. The BLG dispersion yields, \( (dV_{\text{tg}}/dE) = (4/\xi \gamma_1) \sqrt{1 + \xi |V_{\text{tg}} - V_D|} \) where the factor \( \xi = (4\gamma_1^2 \hbar^2 \pi^2 C_{\text{BN}}/e^2) \approx 1 \) for the gate dielectric (hexagonal boron nitride) of thickness \( \approx 7 \) nm. An excellent quantitative agreement between the measured TEP and Mott relation was obtained [Fig. 4(d)] by scaling the \( S_{\text{Mott}} \) to compensate for the overestimation of the measured \( \Delta T \) from resistive thermometry (see SM Section XII [23]). We verify that the similarly normalized \( S_{\text{Mott}} \) when evaluated from the single layer Dirac dispersion, does not conform well with the density variation of measured \( S \) [inset of Fig. 4(d)]. This validates that the band dispersion is non-Dirac and parabolic in the hybridized overlap region, which suggests that the doping dependence of \( S \) is highly sensitive to the coherence of the electronic states via the band dispersion (see SM Section XIII [23]). Furthermore, we compare the electronic component of the TEP, \( S_{\text{Mott}} \), to the measured \( S \) for a large twist angle, \( \theta \sim 12.5° \), in the inset of Fig. 3(e) at low temperature (30 K). The observation of a large discrepancy from the Mott relation, even at low temperature \( \sim 30 \) K where \( T/\Omega_{\text{ph}} \ll 1 \), strongly suggests that the purely electronic part of the TEP is absent at a large twist angle.

In Fig. 5(a), we present the \( T \) dependence of all devices at a fixed representative number density \( n \sim 3 \times 10^{11} \) cm\(^{-2} \), which is close to the CNP. We observe that the large twist angle devices (\( \theta \sim 6°-14° \)) show qualitatively similar nonmonotonic TEP, which is identified with the phonon-drag-mediated TEP. In contrast to large \( \theta \), the devices with a low twist angle (\( \theta < 6° \)) exhibit linear \( T \) dependence of \( S \), indicating a crossover from phonon-driven thermoelectric transport to the purely coherent transverse dephasing.

FIG. 5. Twist-controlled cross-plane thermoelectricity. (a) Seebeck coefficient as a function of temperature for seven different \( \theta \) at a fixed doping of \( n \sim 3 \times 10^{11} \) cm\(^{-2} \). The solid lines show the fit of the phonon-driven TEP, while the dotted lines show the linear \( T \) dependence as a guide for the eye. The \( S-T \) data for \( \theta \sim 13° \) are taken from Ref. [15]. (b) A schematic showing the interplay of the timescales associated with interlayer hybridization, dephasing, and electron-phonon scattering.
electronic transport. This striking shift of TEP from electronic hybridization to phononic hybridization with increasing twist angle demands further elaboration. We begin with estimating the generic e-ph scattering timescale $\tau_{e-ph}$ from the phonon energy $\Omega_{ph}$ obtained from the intercept in the Fig. 3(d) inset. As the interlayer e-ph scattering requires a phonon to be absorbed or emitted, the timescale $\tau_{e-ph} \sim \hbar/\Omega_{ph}$ can be estimated to be $\sim 10$ ps for $\Omega_{ph} \sim 100$ K. When the twist angle is reduced, $\tau_{e-ph}$ shows weak dependence on $\theta$ due to the quadratic dispersion of $\Omega_{ph}$. However, when the twist angle is increased, the interlayer electronic tunneling timescale $\hbar/\gamma$ decays rapidly and becomes slower than $\tau_{e-ph}$ for $\theta > 6^\circ$ [Fig. 5(b)] [8]. Consequently, e-ph scattering becomes the dominant mode of interlayer charge transport instead of the electronic tunneling at large $\theta$. The observed phonon-drag TEP, even at $T \sim 30$ K $\ll \Omega_{ph}$ when e-ph scattering is not expected to be dominant, is seemingly due to the e-ph scattering length becoming comparable to the mean free path or the cross-plane distance between the two layers, which is not unfamiliar in clean graphene samples [42]. However, when the mismatch is reduced to $\theta < 6^\circ$, the strong interlayer hybridization drives the system to a coherent tunneling regime where the cross-plane tunneling timescale $(\hbar/\gamma \sim 10-100$ fs) is expected to be much faster [8] than the $\tau_{e-ph}$($\sim 10$ ps), effectively dominating any phonon contribution in the cross-plane transport and leading to $S \sim T/T_F$.

In summary, we have experimentally measured the cross-plane thermoelectricity across a single van der Waals gap between two rotated graphene layers with varying twist angles. The measured Seebeck coefficient exhibits unique dependence on the twist angle and hence on the hybridization of electronic and phononic bands of two graphene layers. At large twist angles, the cross-plane thermoelectric transport is entirely driven by the e-ph scattering from the hybridized phonons, which give rise to an unconventional phonon-drag effect at the subnanometer distance, while at low twist angles ($\theta < 6^\circ$), the electronic hybridization is restored, resulting in a thermopower that can be described by the semiclassical Mott relation for coherent charge tunneling. The twist-controlled thermoelectricity can not only probe the interlayer coherent states in twisted bilayer graphene but may trigger new thermoelectric designs.
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